

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/816,138	Applicant(s) SALMI ET AL.	
	Examiner Venkat Perungavoor	Art Unit 2432	

All Participants:

(1) Venkat Perungavoor.

(2) James Salter(Reg No. 35668).

Date of Interview: 1 July 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 101

Claims discussed:
Claim 13, 55, and 75

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: after Non-Final response from the Applicants!

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The method claims 13,55,75 was discussed for possible 35 USC 101 Bilski type rejection. And also the computer-readable medium claims includes carrier waves and signals see Spec Pg 25 Ln 29-31. The Examiner indicated an opening for Examiner Amendment to resolve this issues..